



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_BMRJ_5806
Title of the Manuscript:	Virulence Markers and Antifungal Susceptibility Profile of <i>Candida glabrata</i>: An Emerging Pathogen.
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. As scientific research paper and for a better presentation of the work author should attach few photograph showing phospholipase, proteinases and haemolysin production atleast.	As per the suggestion the photographs of phospholipase and haemolytic activity are attached in the manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Reference no. 9. Titlr of the article should start with capital letter.e g, "Serum proteins...." instead of "serum protein....." - Reference no.20. standard procedure should be followed for writing Journal name.....APIMS ?? - Reference no.30. Book – publication year is not mentioned.	The mistakes are corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Author did a good work on emerging Candida pathogen which is reflecting the necessity of species level identification even in rural setup for improvement of management of Candida infection.	I am very grateful for the appreciation of work.